Laminar and Turbulent Lava Flow in Sheets, Channels, and Tubes: Estimating Terrestrial and Planetary Lava Flow Rates

Susan Sakimoto¹ and Tracy Gregg¹

¹University at Buffalo

November 26, 2022

Abstract

To date, actively flowing lava has only been observed on Earth and on Jupiter's moon Io. This lack of observation means that for the vast majority of volcanic systems in the Solar System, solidified lava-flow morphologies are used to infer important information about eruption and emplacement parameters. These include: lava supply rate, lava composition, lava rheology, and determination of laminar or turbulent emplacement regimes. Commonly used models that relate simple lava flow morphologic properties (e.g., width, thickness, length) to emplacement characteristics are based on assumptions that are readily misinterpreted. For example, the simplifying assumption of fully turbulent lava flow allows for a thermally mixed flow interior, but ignores the lava properties that naturally work to suppress full turbulence (such as thermal boundary layers encasing active lava flows, and a temperature-dependent lava rheology). However, full turbulence in silicate lava flows erupted into environments that have temperatures lower than the lava solidification temperature requires a rare combination of characteristics. We model Bingham Plastic, Newtonian, and Herschel-Bulkley fluids in rectangular channels, tubes, and sheets with computational fluid dynamics (COMSOL) software to obtain flow solutions and general flow rate equations and compare them to field measurements of volcanic velocity and flow rates. We present these as more realistic alternatives to older simpler rate-from-morphology models. We find that several lava rheology properties work together to delay the onset of turbulence as compared to isothermal Newtonian materials, and that while turbulent lavas flows certainly exist, they are not as prevalent as the published literature might indicate. Results obtained from models that assume full turbulence in silicate flows on the terrestrial planets should therefore be interpreted cautiously.

EP23F-2383 AGU FALL MEETING

Laminar and Turbulent Lava Flow in Sheets, Channels, and Tubes: **Estimating Terrestrial and Planetary Lava Flow Rates** Susan E. H. Sakimoto^{1,2} and Tracy K. P. Gregg¹ ¹Department of Geology, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY ²Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO

Abstract

To date, actively flowing lava has only been observed on Earth and on Jupiter's moon Io. This lack of observation means that for the vast majority of volcanic systems in the Solar System, solidified lava-flow morphologies are used to infer important information about eruption and emplacement parameters. These include: lava supply rate, lava composition, lava rheology, and determination of laminar or turbulent emplacement regimes. Commonly used models that relate simple lava flow morphologic properties (e.g., width, thickness, length) to emplacement characteristics are based on assumptions that are readily misinterpreted. For example, the simplifying assumption of fully turbulent lava flow allows for a thermally mixed flow interior, but ignores the lava properties that naturally work to suppress full turbulence (such as thermal boundary layers encasing active lava flows, and a temperature-dependent lava rheology). However, full turbulence in silicate lava flows erupted into environments that have temperatures lower than the lava solidification temperature requires a rare combination of characteristics. We model Bingham Plastic, Newtonian, and Herschel-Bulkley fluids in rectangular channels, tubes, and sheets with computational fluid dynamics (COMSOL) software to obtain flow solutions and general flow rate equations and compare them to field measurements of volcanic velocity and flow rates. We present these as more realistic alternatives to older simpler rate-from-morphology models. We find that several lava rheology properties work together to delay the onset of turbulence as compared to isothermal Newtonian materials, and that while turbulent lavas flows certainly exist, they are not as prevalent as the published literature might indicate. Results obtained from models that assume full turbulence in silicate flows on the terrestrial planets should therefore be interpreted cautiously.



Image Credit: USGS, July 2, 2018 Fissure 8 Lava Channel, Kilauea Volcano, HI.

susansakimoto@gmail.com or tgregg@buffalo.edu

Analytic Flow Rate Models

Hulme model: (Isothermal laminar Bingham Approximation)

$Y_B = 2\rho g W_L sin^2 \theta$ This approximation (e.g. Hulme, 1976) relies on problematic assumptions and <u>should be replaced</u> with an exact or empirical solution (e.g. Skelland 1967, Deane and Sakimoto, 1997; Burger et al. 2015; and others).	Y_B = Bingham yield stress rho = flow density g = acceleration of gravity W_L = Levee width theta = slope	
ries Equation: (Isothermal laminar Newtonian Appro $u = \frac{h^2 g \rho sin \theta}{B \eta}$	u = flow velocity h = flow depth g = acceleration of gravity rho = density	
This approximation (Jeffries, 1925) <u>should be</u> <u>replaced</u> with the appropriate exact solution. See, for example, White (2006).	B = geometry parameter n=fluid viscosity	
hermal laminar Ringham Flow Examples		1

merous exact and empirical solutions Skelland, 1967, circular tube, parallel plates Burger et al (2015), and many others: rectangular channel **Deane and Sakimoto (1997) Parabolic Channel**

Computational Flow Rate Model Examples

Exporting Models to Planetary Flows

- than <u>flow dimensions from flow properties</u>.

Discussion and Conclusions

• The Hulme and Jeffries approximation approaches for estimating planetary flow properties should be retired, since they are demonstrably unreliable, and we have vastly improved analytic AND computational approaches that yield more tightly constrained and consistent results.

• We do not yet adequately understand the effects of temperature-dependent rheology, composition, and ambient conditions on terrestrial or planetary flows. So:

• With current computational tools, we can construct semi-empirical relationships as well as selfcontained model applications that are specific to flow conditions and planetary conditions

—...increasing our understanding of planetary flow properties AND general lava flow processes under different ambient conditions.





Terrestrial Approach: Compute or predict lava flow length and width from basic flow properties.

 Planetary volcanology approach inverts the terrestrial approach: - Planetary ... often predicting flow properties from flow dimensions and shape rather

• Planetary flows do not have geochemistry or geothermometry constraints that may be available for terrestrial flows. Ambient conditions must be considered.

> • Computational approaches modeling flows with changed ambient conditions for planetary flows are expected to yield improved results compared to exporting vintage terrestrial approximations. Computational approaches can yield empirical equations appropriate for specific model/planet conditions.

References Blair, D. M., L. Chappaz, R. Sood, C. Milbury, A. Bobet, H.J. Melosh, K. C. Howell, A. M. Freed, (2017), The structural stability of lunar lava tubes, Icarus, 282, 47-55, 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.10.008. Bird, R.B., R.C. Armstrong, and O. Hassager, (1987) Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, 649 pp., John Wiley, N r Different Cross-Sectional Shapes. J. of Hydraulic Engineering, 141(4), DOI:10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.00 Sakimoto, S. E. H, J. Crisp, S.M. Baloga, Eruption constraints on tube-fed planetary lava flows, J. Geophys. Res., 102 (1997), pp. 6567-6613, 10.1029/97JE0006 akimoto, S. E. H., and M. T. Zuber, (1997), Flow and convective cooling in lava tubes, J. Geophys. Res., 103(B11), 27465-27487, 10.1029/97JB03108. Vhite, F. M., (2006) Viscous Fluid Flow, 3^{rti} ed., McGraw-Hill, 629p. 0-07-240231-

—Inferring composition variations from flow morphology is fraught with pitfalls

—Inferring laminar or turbulent emplacement from flow morphology and multiple simplifying assumptions has significant potential for incorrect results.