Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), Long short-term memory (LSTM) for Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) using NASA's MERRA-2 Reanalysis

Mohammed Magooda¹, Mohamed Eltahan², and Karim Moharm³

¹Cairo University ²Julich ³Alexandria University

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Predication of temporal trends of aerosol optical depth (AOD) within the numerical climate models with enabled chemistry module is very challenging and computationally expensive. In this work, new predication model is introduced based on artificial neural networks (ANN) in order to estimate average AOD over Egypt. Long short-term memory (LSTM) algorithm which is artificial recurrent neural network (RNN) architecture, is selected to construct the predication model. Seven input datasets for LSTM algorithm are from NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis within period (1980-2017). The seven variables are pressure (PR), temperature (T), wind speed (W), dust surface particulate matter (PM2.5), surface (SO2) and (SO4) concentrations and (CO) concentration. AOD is the output of the trained and validated model. Effects of changing the number of both hidden layers and number of neurons per layers were evaluated. The results of increasing the number of neurons per one hidden layer revealed that increasing the number of neurons leads to three main finding (a) leads to faster convergence of loss function. (b) Produces more realistic AOD estimation (c) RMSE is reduced by increasing number of neurons. It was also found that, the model with one hidden layer and 50 neurons is the best model setup with RMSE (0.06). However, our studies showed also that increasing the number of hidden layers has no dominant effect on model RNN performance. The proposed LSTM model showed a very high level of accuracy with percentage 99.94 %. Future work can include more variables that has direct effect on AOD calculations. Both ensemble algorithms and different datasets can have more positive impact on the current proposed model.

Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), Long shortterm memory (LSTM) for Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) using NASA's MERRA-2 Reanalysis

| Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), Long short-term memory (LSTM) for Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) using NASA's MERRA-2 Reanalysis Mohammed Magooda (I), MuhammedEltahan (I), Karim Moham (2) Literogene Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Calos University, Gao, Egypt 2. Electrical Explorering Department, Alexandret, Response 2. Electrical Explorering Department, Alexandret, Response 2. Electrical Explorering Department, Alexandret, Response 2. Electrical Explorering Department, Alexandret, Egypt | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Data | Methodology | | | | | |
| In the dady server time area variables are selected as the logal for the LSM analysis indexed indexed from NAANA METRICAL transition. The same right for the LSM analysis indexed from NAANA METRICAL transition. The same right certaines index (PAC), surface 1620 and the selected and the selected and the lSM is surface and | In this paper, the LTM algorithm was used to train different topol datasets to conduct a node capable of membrane producing the subject dataset ACD user Eggst then NAUAs MEINEA.2 membrane the temporary period for the membrane dataset are 1960 to 1977. The affect of the number of memory and hidden layers was also instructions. The segme accuracy period has been been as a subject to the start of the temporary period of the membrane application or programming interface (AP) instruction and hidden layers. Kervas is a fronted by membrane application programming interface (AP) instruction of hidden layers. Kervas is a fronted by membrane application programming interface (AP) instruction of temperature and hidden layers. Kervas is a fronted by membrane application programming interface (AP) instruction of temperature and hidden layers. Kervas is a fronted by the paper (AP) is the paper. The addition of the member of memory and in the second. | | | | | |
| Results | Conclusion | | | | | |
| The MERNAL2 monthly exercise of temporal dhange over "Egipt for inputs and output of the LSTM algorithm is drawn in Figure 2. 70 % of the datasets even used as hairing interesh white 20 % of the datasets even used for subtrave of holden layers. The root means separa even (MREE) was evaluated for every test cases separately both policy law function when under of Appoint and the output of the same moder in the root and the same of analysis. The first states of adjoint and the output of the same moder in the root adjoint Appoint Appoint analysis. The source of Appoint and the output of the same moder in the root adjoint allowed analysis. The source of Appoint and the output of the same moder in the root and allowed analysis. | In Ris work, a new LIDM model was Hinducant, to predid the monthly average ACD over Eggst using NKMAs MERRA's transmission. Knows software ARI was used to develop the LIDM approxim. The effect of increasing the number of monthly gar works and the software and the tension the LIDM approximation. The effect of increasing the number of monthly gar works and the software and the provide tension of the number of monthly of to faster convergence for framing and tending AOD detainer, (b) produced more number. ADD estimation, (c) reduced RMM2E. The model was an additional and research model and gar RMM2E of 0.04. The effect of increasing the number of Molece layers was include a set RM INDM work, provide the tension of Holden layers had in dominant effect on model RMV performance. The first immutation was that LIDM with the selected which had the seguritarity for source-law a monthly serverage ADD eart Eggst using NMEAL P manuface. The work is within the Tamework of editories and an associating performance and that law to transmitter mount and provide and approximate prediction model for areas and and one over Taget. The work is whith the Tamework for advection, which are somether with ROD may cow Taget using ourseling mount and tensork. LIDM alsocithems, which are somethered in elabelation a hold for any set Taget. | | | | | |

Mohammed Magooda (1), MuhammedEltahan (1), Karim Moharm (2)

1.Aerospace Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt 2.Electrical Engineering Department, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

PRESENTED AT:

DATA

In this study, seven time-series variables were selected as the input for the LSTM neural network. All seven variables were extracted from NASA's MERRA-2 reanalysis. The seven input variables included pressure (PR), temperature (T), wind speed (W), dust surface particulate matter (PM2.5), surface SO2 and SO4 concentrations and CO concentration. The AOD was the output variable from the LSTM neural network.

| | Variables | Description | Spatial Resolution | Dataset Identification on NASA Product Platform |
|---------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Inputs | Pressure | Monthly area-averaged of surface pressure | 0.5 × 0.625 deg | Mean_M2IMNPASM_5_12_4_PS |
| | Temperature | Monthly area-averaged of temperature at 10 m above the surface | | Mean_M2TMNXSLV_5_12_4_T10M |
| | Wind speed | Monthly area-averaged of surface wind speed | | Mean_M2TMNXFLX_5_12_4_SPEED |
| | Dust surface particle (PM2.5) | Monthly area-averaged of dust surface mass concentration - PM 2.5 | | Mean_M2TMNXAER_5_12_4_DUSMASS25 |
| | Surface SO4 | Monthly area-averaged of SO4 surface mass concentration (ENSEMBLE) | | Mean_M2TMNXAER_5_12_4_SO4SMASS |
| | Surface SO2 | Monthly area-averaged of SO2 surface mass concentration (ENSEMBLE) | | Mean_M2TMNXAER_5_12_4_SO2SMASS |
| | Surface CO | Monthly area-average of CO surface concentration in ppby, (ENSEMBLE) monthly | | Mean_M2TMNXCHM_5_12_4_COSC |
| Outputs | AOD | Monthly area-average of aerosol optical depth analysis | | Mean_M2IMNXGAS_5_12_4_AODANA |

Table 1. Input and Output Variables Definitions for the LSTM Neural Network

RESULTS

The MERRA-2 monthly average of temporal change over Egypt for inputs and output of the LSTM algorithm is shown in Figure 2. 70 % of the datasets were used as training datasets while 30 % of the datasets were used for validation. The following subsection introduces the effect of changing the number of neurons per layer and number of hidden layers. The root means square error (RMSE) was evaluated for every test case separately. Both plotting loss function vs the number of epochs and the output of the trained model vs the expected AOD output from MERRA-2 provided constructive information about the performance of the LSTM under different configurations.



Figure 2. Monthly average over Egypt (1980-2017) of all input and output variables mentioned in section 2.

The effect of increasing neuron numbers

The effect of increasing the number of neurons per hidden layer on our predicative model was investigated. There were 80 epochs. The number of neurons ranged from five to 260 neurons per hidden layer. Increasing the number of neurons led to a number of positive effects on the ANN model:

(a) faster convergence for training and testing AOD dataset(b) more realistic AOD estimation(c) reduced RMSE

jpgu (iPosterSessions - an aMuze! Interactive system)

Moreover, increasing the number of neurons by more than 50 neurons per one hidden layer led to starting converge but at a higher loss error at the later epochs. By increasing the number of neurons, the convergence at a higher value of error for the testing dataset began to occur in earlier epochs. The effect of increasing the number of neurons per hidden layer on RMSE calculations is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Effect of increasing the number of neurons per hidden layer on RMSE.

The effect of increasing the number of hidden layers

The effect of adding more hidden layers to the current RNN model setup is shown in Figure 8. Adding more hidden layers to the current setup using the existing MERRA-2 monthly dataset didn't improve the RMSE. After adding hidden layer number 9 to the model, the response of the model became unstable and had a higher RMSE (.084) with respect to the model with one hidden layer, which had an RMSE of .061.







Figure 4. The left column shows loss function for both train and test model for a different number of hidden layers. The right column shows the predicated AOD vs original AOD dataset from MERRA-2 for a different number of hidden layers.

METHODOLOGY

In this paper, the LSTM algorithm was used to train different input datasets to construct a model capable of reproducing the output dataset AOD over Egypt from NASA's MERRA-2 reanalysis. The temporal period for this monthly input dataset was 1980 to 2017. The effect of the number of neurons and hidden layers were also introduced.

The open-source python deep-learning neural-network framework Keras was used to develop the LSTM–ANN and investigate the sensitivity of the model to the number of neurons and hidden layers. Keras is a frontend software application programming interface (API) originally developed to let users easily interact with and build neural networks. Keras has the capability to use different backend frameworks, such as TensorFlow [51], Theano [52] and CNTK [53]. In this paper, TensorFlow was configured as the backend for this work.



Figure 1 The structure of LSTM-ANN

CONCLUSION

In this work, a new LSTM model was introduced, to predict the monthly average AOD over Egypt using NASA's MERRA-2 reanalysis. Keras software API was used to develop the LSTM algorithm. The effect of increasing the number of neurons per one hidden layer was tested and revealed that increasing the number of neurons (a) led to faster convergence for training and testing AOD dataset, (b) produced more realistic AOD estimation, (c) reduced RMSE.

The model with one hidden layer and 50 neurons was the best model setup with an RMSE of 0.06. The effect of increasing the number of hidden layers was tested as well. However, increasing the number of hidden layers had no dominant effect on model RNN performance. The final conclusion was that LSTM with the selected setup had the capability to reproduce a monthly average AOD over Egypt using NASA's MERRA-2 reanalysis. This work is within the framework of establishing an accurate prediction model for aerosol and dust over Egypt.

The current analysis could be expanded to train sequential spatial AOD maps over Egypt using conventional neural network-LSTM algorithms, which are considered critical to establishing a hybrid framework for accurate predication of AOD over Egypt.

We are sorry to inform you that the content of your iPoster has changd in our database since your last save.

The probable reason is that there are multiple people logged into your account, you are logged into your poster at multiple locations, that you have multiple tabs open with your iPoster or that you have requested help from our support staff and they have made corrections/adjustments to your iPoster.

To avoid losing any content, we recommend you open a new tab/window and access your iPoster again, and copy any missing content from this view to the new view. You will see the latest content saved in our database for your iPoster in the new view.

Because of maintenance we have just saved your content and will within a few minutes logout all users and restart our server. We will be back in a moment.

Sorry for the inconvenience!

Because of maintenance we will within a few minutes restart our server. We will be back in a moment.

Sorry for the inconvenience!

DISCLOSURES

AUTHOR INFORMATION

ABSTRACT

Predication of temporal trends of aerosol optical depth (AOD) within the numerical climate models with enabled chemistry module is very challenging and computationally expensive. In this work, new predication model is introduced based on artificial neural networks (ANN) in order to estimate average AOD over Egypt. Long short-term memory (LSTM) algorithm which is artificial recurrent neural network (RNN) architecture, is selected to construct the predication model. Seven input datasets for LSTM algorithm are from NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis within period (1980-2017). The seven variables are pressure (PR), temperature (T), wind speed (W), dust surface particulate matter (PM2.5), surface (SO2) and (SO4) concentrations and (CO) concentration. AOD is the output of the trained and validated model. Effects of changing the number of both hidden layers and number of neurons per layers were evaluated.

The results of increasing the number of neurons per one hidden layer revealed that increasing the number of neurons leads to three main finding (a) leads to faster convergence of loss function. (b) Produces more realistic AOD estimation (c) RMSE is reduced by increasing number of neurons. It was also found that, the model with one hidden layer and 50 neurons is the best model setup with RMSE (0.06).

However, our studies showed also that increasing the number of hidden layers has no dominant effect on model RNN performance. The proposed LSTM model showed a very high level of accuracy with percentage 99.94 %. Future work can include more variables that has direct effect on AOD calculations. Both ensemble algorithms and different datasets can have more positive impact on the current proposed model.

REFERENCES

[1] Hauser, A.; Oesch, D.; Foppa, N. Aerosol optical depth over land: Comparing AERONET, AVHRR and

MODIS. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2005, 32, 109–127. [CrossRef]

[2] Chen, Y.C.; Christensen, M.W.; Stephens, G.L.; Seinfeld, J.H. Satellite-based estimate of global aerosol-cloud

radiative forcing by marine warm clouds. Nat. Geosci. 2014, 7, 643-646.

[3] Kothe, S.; Dobler, A.; Beck, A.; Ahrens, B. The radiation budget in a regional climate model. Clim. Dyn. 2011,

36, 1023-1036. [CrossRef]

[4] Loutzenhiser, P.G.; Manz, H.; Felsmann, C.; Strachan, P.A.; Frank, T.; Maxwell, G.M. Empirical validation of models to compute solar irradiance on inclined surfaces for building energy simulation. Sol. Energy 2007, 81,

254-267. [CrossRef]

[5] Wang, L.; Gong, W.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, M. Modeling regional vegetation NPP variations and their relationships with climatic parameters in Wuhan, China. Earth Interact. 2013, 17, 1–20. [CrossRef]

[46] Kratzert, F.; Klotz, D.; Brenner, C.; Schulz, K.; Herrnegger, M. Rainfall-runo_modelling using long short-term memory (LSTM) networks. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 2018, 22, 6005–6022. [CrossRef]

[47] Rumelhart, D.E.; Hinton, G.E.; Williams, R.J. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. Nature1986, 323, 533–536. [CrossRef]

[48] Werbos, P.J. Generalization of backpropagation with application to a recurrent gas market model. Neural Netw. 1988, 1, 339–356. [CrossRef]

[49]Elman, J.L. Finding structure in time. Cogn. Sci. 1990, 14, 179-211. [CrossRef]

[50] Olah, C. Understanding LSTM Networks. Available online: http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-

Understanding-LSTMs/ (accessed on 26 June 2018).

[51] Abadi, M.; Agarwal, A.; Barham, P.; Brevdo, E.; Chen, Z.; Citro, C.; Corrado, G.S.; Davis, A.; Dean, J.;

Devin, M.; et al. TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous distributed systems. arXiv 2015,

arXiv:1603.04467

[52] Team TTD, Al-Rfou R, Alain G, Almahairi A, Angermueller C, Bahdanau D, Ballas N, Bastien F, Bayer J, Belikov A (2016) Theano: a python framework for fast computation of mathematical expressions. arXiv:1605.02688

[53] Amit Agarwal, Eldar Akchurin, Chris Basoglu, Guoguo Chen, Scott Cyphers, Jasha Droppo, Adam Eversole, Brian Guenter, Mark Hillebrand, T. Ryan Hoens, Xuedong Huang, Zhiheng Huang, Vladimir Ivanov, Alexey Kamenev, Philipp Kranen, Oleksii Kuchaiev, Wolfgang Manousek, Avner May, Bhaskar Mitra, Olivier Nano, Gaizka Navarro, Alexey Orlov, Hari Parthasarathi, Baolin Peng, Marko Radmilac, Alexey Reznichenko, Frank Seide, Michael L. Seltzer, Malcolm Slaney, Andreas Stolcke, Huaming Wang, Yongqiang Wang, Kaisheng Yao, Dong Yu, Yu Zhang, Geoffrey Zweig (in alphabetical order), "An Introduction to Computational Networks and the Computational Network Toolkit", Microsoft Technical Report MSR-TR-2014--112, 2014. Please note that the time and date displayed here is Central European Summer Time – UTC + 2 hours. If you need help in converting to your local time, please click click here (https://www.thetimezoneconverter.com/).

LIVE SESSION

Meeting time:

Please note that the time and date displayed here is Central European Summer Time – UTC +2 hours. If you need help in converting to your local time, please click click here (https://www.thetimezoneconverter.com/).

GO TO SESSION