Seismic Evidence for Partial Melt Below Tectonic Plates

Eric Debayle¹, Thomas Bodin¹, Stéphanie Durand¹, and Yanick Ricard²

¹Univ Lyon, Univ Lyon 1, ENSL, CNRS, LGL-TPE ²Univ Lyon, ENSL, Univ Lyon 1, CNRS, LGL-TPE

November 23, 2022

Abstract

The seismic low-velocity zone (LVZ) of the upper mantle is generally associated with a low-viscosity asthenosphere that has a key role in decoupling tectonic plates from the mantle. However, the origin of the LVZ remains unclear. Some studies attribute its low seismic velocities to a small amount of partial melt of minerals in the mantle, whereas others attribute them to solid-state mechanisms near the solidus, or the effect of its volatile contents. Observations of shear attenuation provide additional constraints on the origin of the LVZ. On the basis of the interpretation of global three-dimensional shear attenuation and velocity models, here we report partial melt occurring within the LVZ. We observe that partial melting down to 150– 200 kilometres beneath mid-ocean ridges, major hotspots and back-arc regions feeds the asthenosphere. A small part of this melt (less than 0.30 per cent) remains trapped within the oceanic LVZ. Melt is mostly absent under continental regions. The amount of melt increases with plate velocity, increasing substantially for plate velocities of between 3 centimetres per year and 5 centimetres per year. This finding is consistent with previous observations of mantle crystal alignment underneath tectonic plates. Our observations suggest that by reducing viscosity, melt facilitates plate motion and large-scale crystal alignment in the asthenosphere.

Seismic Evidence for Partial Melt Below Tectonic Plates



Eric Debayle (1), Thomas Bodin (1), Stéphanie Durand (1), Yanick Ricard (2)

(1) Univ Lyon, Univ Lyon 1, ENSL, CNRS, LGL-TPE, Villeurbanne, France - (2) Univ Lyon, ENSL, Univ Lyon 1, CNRS, LGL-TPE, Lyon, France.





PRESENTED AT:

ABSTRACT:

The paper related to this research is available from nature website.

Observations of shear attenuation provide new constraints on the origin of the seismic low-velocity zone (LVZ) in the upper mantle. We report the presence of partial melt in the LVZ, from the joint interpretation of 3D shear attenuation and velocity models. We observe that partial melting up to 150-200 kilometres deep feeds the asthenosphere beneath mid-ocean ridges, major hotspots and back-arc regions, . A small amount of melt (less than 0.30%) remains trapped within the oceanic LVZ. Melt is mostly absent under continental regions. The amount of melt increases with plate velocity, particularly when the plate velocities change from 3 cm/year to 5 cm/year. This finding is consistent with previous observations of mantle crystal alignment underneath tectonic plates. Our observations suggest that by reducing viscosity, melt facilitates plate motion and large-scale crystal alignment in the asthenosphere.

FIFTY YEARS OF DEBATE ON THE ORIGIN OF THE **ASTHENOSPHERE :**

Arguments supporting the presence of melt in the LVZ :

- Simple idea that explains the Vs reduction and the low viscosity required in the LVZ (Anderson and Sammis, 1970)
- Production of MORB by partial melting is the largest volcanic activity on Earth
- Difficulty to explain the sharp Vs contrasts with purely thermal model (Kawakatsu et al. 2009) • Surface tension may resist complete draining of small melt fraction in the LVZ (Holtzman, 2016)

Arguments against the presence of melt :

- The Vs reduction in the LVZ can be explained by solid state mechanisms without the presence of melt or fluids
- (Faul and Jackson, 2005) • Small melt fractions (>10⁻³% volume) may move upward the lithospheric plate above and will solidify (Mc
- Kenzie, 1989)
- The sharp velocity reduction associated with the LAB and MLD may be explained by Elastically Accomodated Grain boundary Sliding (EAGBS), a subsolidus deformation mechanisms (Karato, 2012, Karato et al., 2015)

It is difficult to constrain the origin of the LVZ with seismic velocity alone. Adding quality factor provides new independant constraints!

DATASETS AND METHODOLOGY

Dataset:

We use 2 consistent Vs and Qs 3D models. DR2020s and QsADR17 are : Based on the same dataset: Debayle and Ricard, (2012) fundamental and higher modes global dataset (372,629 Rayleigh waveforms).

Inverted using the same approach with the same horizontal and vertical smoothing

Methodology :

We plot Vs as a function of ln(Qs) for each geographical point and compare our observations with predictions from :

- a temperature dependent model (Takei, 2017) dark blue
- curve
- experimental results from Jackson et al., (2002) light blue curve

assuming a pyrolitic mantle.

The blue curves do not explain all data. Adding melt reduces velocities and shift the blue curves to the left. The color scale indicates the amount of melt (%) required to explain data at each geographical point. We prefer Takei's model (dark blue curve) as it minimizes the required amount of melt.



100 km

V_a (km s⁻

0.20 0.15



We neglect the effect of melt on Qs for long period surface waves for the following reasons : The Melt experiment results (Yanget al., 2007) suggest that for long period surface waves (>20 s) melt has a very small effect on Qs. This is also expected if the attenuation mechanism is melt squirt (Hammond and Humphreys, 2000).





Bathymetry (m)

100 1000 10 Qμ

The figure above (left) shows range of acceptable Qs average after Yang et a., (2007) for a 2 Ma seafloor (red boxes), a 6 Ma sea floor (orange boxes) and the average oceanic values (about 80 Ma, blue boxes).

MELT CONTENT AND EFFECT ON VISCOSITY

Melt content maps are displayed at different depths in the upper mantle.



The upper colour scale shows the amount of melt (%) required to explain our observations using the model of Takei, (2017). The lower colour scale indicates the difference in percent between theory and observations, in regions (mostly cratons) where Vs is too high and cannot be reconciled with model predictions assuming a pyrolitic mantle. Hotspot locations are indicated with black triangle. The blue and green stars indicate the location of the Nomelt (Lin et al., 2016) and Melt (Yang et al., 2007) experiments, respectively.

We map partial melting down to 150-200 kilometres beneath mid-ocean ridges, major hotspots and back-arc regions. In these regions melt feeds the asthenosphere. A small part of this melt (less than 0.3%) remains trapped within the oceanic LVZ. Melt is mostly absent under continental regions, where compositional heterogeneities and depletion of incompatible elements contribute to the high seismic velocities.

Effect of melt on viscosity :

The figure below by Hotzmann (2016) shows that the variation in viscosity as a function of melt content occurs in two steps. First, the onset of melting has a strong effect on viscosity when a connected network of melt tubules is established. The viscosity η decreases by one or two orders of magnitude before the melt fraction Φ reaches 0.1%. Second, for larger melt content, the viscosity decreases further, with dln $\eta/d\Phi$ = -26; this effect is minor for the low melt content that we observe. The viscosity should be one or two orders of magnitude lower under oceanic plates, where Φ =0.3%, than under continents.



EFFECT OF COMPOSITION AND RADIAL ANISOTROPY



We test 3 extreme compositions: an upper mantle entirely made of harzburgite (blue box and curves), of silica-excess pyroxenite (orange box and curves) or of silica deficient pyroxenite (red boxes and curves.

Neither of these extreme compositions can remove the melt layer in the LVZ.

Radial anisotropy: can we explain our observations without melt, only by invoking radial anisotropy (displayed with ξ = $(Vsh/Vsv)^2)$?

The figure below shows the global average of the radial anisotropy (blue curve) needed to explain our observations without melt. The ξ values are unrealistic and much larger than the global average in 3D models SEMUCB (French et al., 2013, green curve), S362ANI (Kustowski et al., 2008, brown curve) or PREM (Dziewonsli & Anderson, 1981, red curve).



We show in the Method and Supplementary information of our paper that the low Vs value cannot be reconciled

with Qs by adding radial anisotropy, elastically accomodated grain-boundary sliding, or the effect of composition or water.

THE AMOUNT OF MELT INCREASES WITH PLATE VELOCITY



Melt fraction at different depths (left panel) and anisotropy along absolute plate motion (right panel, continuous lines) as a function of plate velocity expressed in a no-net reference frame for different depths in the upper mantle. The amount of melt increases substantially in the asthenosphere (100-200 km) for plate velocities between 3 and 5 cm/yr (left panel). This links with previous observations by Debayle and Ricard (2013) (right panel) of an increase in the proportion of anisotropy aligned with APM for plate motions between 3 and 5 cm/yr.

Plate crystal alignment beneath plates movig faster than 4 cm/yr (right panel) is associated with a greater amount of melt (left panel).

This requires either that melt facilitates deformation or that deformation favours melt retention or both

Our results suggest that by reducing viscosity, melt facilitates plate motion and large-scale crystal alignment in the asthenosphere.

DESIGN YOUR IPOSTER



Your iPoster has now been unpublished and will not be displayed on the iPoster Gallery.

You need to publish it again if you want to be displayed.

You must be registered to publish your poster.

Please visit service@agu.org

Because of maintenance we have just saved your content and will within a few minutes logout all users and restart our server. We will be back in a moment

Sorry for the inconvenience!

Because of maintenance we will within a few minutes restart our server. We will be back in a moment

Sorry for the inconvenience!

LINK:

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Eric Debayle¹, Thomas Bodin¹, Stéphanie Durand¹, Yanick Ricard² ¹Univ Lyon, Univ Lyon 1, ENSL, CNRS, LGL-TPE, Villeurbanne, France.

²Univ Lyon, ENSL, Univ Lyon 1, CNRS, LGL-TPE, Lyon, France. e-mail: Eric.Debayle@ens-lyon.fr

ABSTRACT

The seismic low-velocity zone (LVZ) of the upper mantle is generally associated with a low-viscosity asthenosphere that has a key role in decoupling tectonic plates from the mantle. However, the origin of the LVZ remains unclear. Some studies attribute its low seismic velocities to a small amount of partial melt of minerals in the mantle, whereas others attribute them to solid-state mechanisms near the solidus or the effect of its volatile contents. Observations of shear attenuation provide additional constraints on the origin of the LVZ. On the basis of the interpretation of global three-dimensional shear attenuation and velocity models, here we report partial melt occurring within the LVZ. We observe that partial melting down to 150-200 kilometres beneath mid-ocean ridges, major hotspots and back-arc regions feeds the asthenosphere. A small part of this melt (less than 0.30 per cent) remains trapped within the oceanic LVZ. Melt is mostly absent under continental regions. The amount of melt increases with plate velocity, increasing substantially for plate velocities of between 3 centimetres per year and 5 centimetres per year. This finding is consistent with previous observations of mantle crystal alignment underneath tectonic plates. Our observations suggest that by reducing viscosity melt facilitates plate motion and large-scale crystal alignment in the asthenosphere.

REFERENCES

- Anderson D.L. & C. Sammis(1970). Partial melting in the upper mantle, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, Volume 3, Pages 41-50.
- Debayle, E. & Ricard (2012). Y. A global shear velocity model of the upper mantle from fundamental and higher Rayleigh mode measurements. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 117, B10308.
- Dziewonski, A., and D. Anderson (1981). Preliminary reference Earth model, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 25, 297-356. • Faul, U. H. & Jackson, I. (2005). The seismological signature of temperature and
- grain size variations in the upper mantle. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 234, 119–134. • French, S., Lekic, V. & Romanowicz, B. (2013). Waveform tomography reveals
- channeled flow at the base of the oceanic asthenosphere. Science 342, 227-230.. • Holtzman, B. K. (2016). Questions on the existence, persistence, and mechanical effects of a very small melt fraction in the asthenosphere. Geochem. Geophys.
- Geosyst. 17, 470–484. • Karato, S. (2012). On the origin of the asthenosphere. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 321-322, 95-103. • Karato, S. I., Olugboji, T. & Park, (2015). J. Mechanisms and geologic significance of the mid-
- lithosphere discontinuity in the continents. Nat. Geosci. 8, 509-514.
- Kawakatsu, H. et al. (2009). Seismic evidence for sharp lithosphere-asthenosphere boundaries of oceanic plates. Science 324, 499–502. • Kustowski, B., Ekstrom, G. & Dziewonski, A. M. Anisotropic shear-wave velocity
- structure of the Earth's mantle: a global model. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 113, B06306 (2008).
- Lin, P. Y. P. et al. High-resolution seismic constraints on flow dynamics in the oceanic asthenosphere. Nature 535, 538-541 (2016). • McKenzie, D. (1989). Some remarks on the movement of small melt fractions in the mantle. Earth & Planetary Science Letters, 95, 53-72
- Takei, Y. (2017). Effects of partial melting on seismic velocity and attenuation: a new insight from experiments. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 45, 447-470.
- Yang, Y., Forsyth, D. W. & Weeraratne, D. S. Seismic attenuation near the East Pacific Rise and the origin of the low-velocity zone. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 258, 260-268 (2007).

CHAT S	Setti	NGS
--------	-------	-----

CHAT INFORMATION

SAVE SETTINGS

			How it works
Choose Date & Time	F	dit Chatroom Mes	sage
	Ĺ		
Your Timezone		Ay chat will be starting at he chat isn't open, it mea please contact me using the putton at the bottom of my	the time listed below. If ns I'm not here yet - so he Contact Author y iPoster.
Date Please note: After setting up or chang it may take up to an hour for the chan the Gallery screen.	Jing your session time, dange to be displayed on "f	nportant: Participants will ouring the assigned time and water that "button.	only be able to join your chat when you have clicked the
SAVE SETTINGS	CANCEL		START CHAT
YOUR CHAT SE	SSION SCHED	ULE	
DateStart En	d Time zone	Actions	Add new session

IN PERSON POSTER HALL SESSION SETTINGS

Choose Date & Time	Edit Session Message
Your Timezone	
Time	
Date	
Session - Board Number	
Session - Board Number	
Please note: After setting up or changing your session time, it may take up to an hour for the change to be displayed on the Gallery screen.	

How it works

YOUR IN PERSON POSTER HALL SESSION SCHEDULE

CANCEL

	Date	Start	End	Time zone	Actions	Add new session
C			QTE	D		
C		EFU	SIL			
SH	ARE LINK	2:				
	🎔 Twee	et			G Sha	

JOIN CHAT

In order to join Chat, please enter your name and email address

AT	MY	POSTER

REVIEWER SURVEY

1 Upload new

Enter your hame here.
Enter your email address here:
JOIN CHAT

Enter your email address here:	
JOIN CHAT	

Enter your email address here:	
JOIN CHAT	

Enter your	email address	S Here.		
JOIN CH	IAT			

JOIN CHAT			

V DACTED		
IFUSILN		