### Using Machine Learning Techniques to Analyze Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler Data

Kristen Falcinelli<sup>1</sup> and Osama Abuomar<sup>1</sup>

 $^1\mathrm{Affiliation}$  not available

November 21, 2022

### Abstract

Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs) are oceanographic tools that are capable of collecting large amounts of current profile data. Using unsupervised machine learning techniques such as principal component analysis, fuzzy c-means clustering, and self-organizing maps, patterns and trends in an ADCP dataset were discovered. Cluster validity algorithms such as visual assessment of cluster tendency and clustering index were used to determine the optimal number of clusters in the ADCP dataset. These techniques proved to be useful in analysis of ADCP data and may be of further use in the oceanographic field.



# **Using Machine Learning Techniques to Analyze Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler Data**



## Introduction

Goal: Use machine learning techniques to discover patterns and trends in oceanographic data.

### Machine Learning Techniques-

algorithms used to discover patterns in large data sets

Principal component analysis (PCA), fuzzy c-means clustering, visual assessment of cluster tendency (VAT), Kohonen maps, and clustering index

### **Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler** (ADCP)- uses the Doppler effect to measure 3-D current velocity profiles



Figure 1. Track of the RV Savannah where ADCP data was collected. Satellite image courtesy of Google Maps 2017.

### Type

Time Ship Motion Weather

Bottom Track

Location

Current Velocity

Variables

Day, time, time in date number format, number

Pitch, roll, heading

Temperature East, North, vertical, and error velocities, range, X and Y displacement East, North, vertical, error Navigational Velocity East, North Latitude, longitude

 
 Table 1. Variables (dimensions) collected from the
 ADCP that are used in analysis

## Acknowledgements

Funding for C-SURF was provided by NSF REU Award AGS 1560210. Thanks to Dr. Diane Fribance at Coastal

Carolina University for the original data.

Kristen Falcinelli<sup>1</sup>, Sam Abuomar<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of New England, Biddeford, ME 04005, <sup>2</sup>Coastal Carolina University, Conway, SC 29528 <sup>1</sup>kfalcinelli@une.edu, <sup>2</sup>oabuomar@coastal.edu

Materials and Methods
1. Data organization and Interpolation
<ul> <li>23 variables were organized into a</li> </ul>
matrix with 23 dimensions
<ul> <li>Some velocity samples has missing</li> </ul>
data, so data was linearly interpolated
2. Principal Component Analysis
<ul> <li>Reduced dimensions from 23 to 2</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Allows data to be clustered</li> </ul>
3. Fuzzy c-means clustering
<ul> <li>Data points can have membership in</li> </ul>
multiple clusters
<ul> <li>3, 4, and 5 cluster outputs were</li> </ul>
produced
4. Visual Assessment of Cluster
Tendency
Compares pairwise distances between
all data points
<ul> <li>Determines if data can be clustered</li> </ul>
5. Kohonen/ Self-Organizing Maps
Neural network maps used to
determine which dimensions are the
most important in the ADCP dataset
6. Cluster Separation Index
Compares distance between points
within clusters to distances between
Clusters



Figure 2. Visual representation of data after PCA.







## Results

31.25 31.25 31.25 31.24 31.19 31.24 31.24 31.30 31.30 31.30 31.24 31.24 31.24 31.24 31.18 31.22 31.30 31.30 31.30 31.29

BT X Displacement 0.1 125.7 60.1 253.8 253.8 253.6 188.1 32.4 253.9 51.9 62.1 317.3 318.0 57.2 57.0 28.5 86.8 27.5 36.4 148.5 90.0 23.7 24.6 26.2 104.6 28.8 165.5 148.7 274.2 438. 7.0 | 59.9 | 24.6 |106.6 |167.3 | 99.1 |132.3 |214.0 | 269.5 |375.3 24.9 133.6 214.3 214.4 166.8 167.0 214.1 242.5 27 7 157 0 146 5 278 4 278 4 203 9 278 4 244 1 256 4 336 206 4 205 2 334 0 334 3 334 4 334 4 438 2 278 4 335 8 394 78.7 279.9 370.5 401.6 334.5 400.4 400.4 338.8 471.0 43<sup>-</sup> 269.4 431.7 453.2 438.0 437.9 454.4 392.6 470.3 471.0 428.4

Figure 6. Kohonen maps for variables with clustering tendency-Latitude and bottom track X displacement are shown here, but bottom track Y displacement and bottom track range also show clustering tendency.

CS Index
0.0011
0.0035
0.0090

 
 Table 2. Cluster separation (CS) index calculated
 for 3, 4, and 5 clusters. The smallest value indicates well separated clusters with data points relatively close together.

## Conclusions

Reducing the data set from 23 dimensions to 2 allows for the data set to be analyzed and visualized It is possible for this data set to be clustered, and 3 clusters is the optimal number of clusters Clustering tendencies can be observed when mapping day, latitude, bottom track X and Y displacements, and bottom track range via Kohonen maps indicating that these measures drive the overall design of the ADCP dataset Machine learning may be further applied to oceanographic datasets to discover more hidden patterns and trends