

Development and optimization of Lysis gene E as a counter-selection marker with high selection stringency

Wei Chen¹, Ruyi Chen¹, Ling He¹, and Xiaotong Wu¹

¹Guangdong Pharmaceutical University

April 16, 2024

Abstract

Seamless modification of bacteria chromosome is widely performed both in theoretical and in practical research, such as functional genome analysis and metabolic engineering. For this purpose, excellent counter-selection marker genes with high selection stringency are urgent needed. Lysis gene E from bacteriophage PhiX174, which is of universal and powerful killing effect on Gram-negative strains, was developed and optimized as a generic counter-selection marker in this paper. Lysis gene E was firstly constructed under the control of pL promoter. At high temperature such as 42 °C, inducible expression of Lysis gene E could effectively promote the death of Escherichia coli MG1655. Seamless modification using E as a counter-selection marker also successfully conducted with high ration of positive recombinants. It also works in another Gram-negative strain *Serratia marcescens* under the control of Arac/PBAD regulatory system. Through combining lysis gene E and kil, the selection stringency frequency of pL-kil-sd-E cassette in *E. coli* arrived at 4.9×10^{-8} and 3.2×10^{-8} at two test loci, which is very close to the best counter-selection system, inducible toxins system. Under the control of Arac/PBAD, selection stringency of PBAD-kil-sd-E in *S. marcescens* arrived mostly at the level of 10^{-7} at four test loci. By introducing araC gene harboring plasmid pKDsg-ack, 5- to 18- fold improvement of selection stringency was observed at all these loci, and a surprising low selection stringency frequency 4.9×10^{-9} was obtained at marR-1 locus. This is the lowest selection stringency frequency for counter-selection reported so far. Similarly, at araB locus of *E. coli* selection stringency frequency of PBAD-kil-sd-E was improved 170- fold to 3×10^{-9} after introducing plasmid pKDsg-ack. In conclusion, we have developed and optimized a newly universal counter-selection marker based on lysis gene E. The best selection stringency of this new marker exceeds the inducible toxins system several fold. We provide a valuable counter-selection marker with high selection stringency to the genome editing toolbox.

Development and optimization of Lysis gene *E* as a counter-selection marker with high selection stringency

Running title: High stringent counter-selection marker based on lysis gene *E*

Wei Chen^{1,2*}, **Ruyi Chen**¹, **Ling He**², **Xiaotong Wu**¹

1, Guangdong Province Key Laboratory for Biotechnology Drug Candidates, School of Biosciences and Biopharmaceutics, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510006, China

2, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Bioactive Substances, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510006, China

*Corresponding author: Wei Chen, PhD

School of Biosciences and Biopharmaceutics, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University

Guangzhou 510006, China

Tel.: +86 20 39352201

E-mail : thinker98@163.com

Abstract

Seamless modification of bacteria chromosome is widely performed both in theoretical and in practical research, such as functional genome analysis and metabolic engineering. For this purpose, excellent counter-selection marker genes with high selection stringency are urgent needed. Lysis gene *E* from bacteriophage PhiX174, which is of universal and powerful killing effect on Gram-negative strains, was developed and optimized as a generic counter-selection marker in this paper.

Lysis gene *E* was firstly constructed under the control of *fpL* promoter. At high temperature such as 42 °C, inducible expression of Lysis gene *E* could effectively promote the death of *Escherichia coli* MG1655. Seamless modification using *E* as a counter-selection marker also successfully conducted with high ration of positive recombinants. It also works in another Gram-negative strain *Serratia marcescens* under the control of Arac/P_{BAD} regulatory system. Through combining lysis gene *E* and *kil*, the selection stringency frequency of *fpL-kil-sd-E* cassette in *E. coli* arrived at 4.9×10^{-8} and 3.2×10^{-8} at two test loci, which is very close to the best counter-selection system, inducible toxins system. Under the control of Arac/P_{BAD}, selection stringency of *P_{BAD}-kil-sd-E* in *S. marcescens* arrived mostly at the level of 10^{-7} at four test loci. By introducing *araC* gene harboring plasmid pKDsg-ack, 5- to 18- fold improvement of selection stringency was observed at all these loci, and a surprising low selection stringency frequency 4.9×10^{-9} was obtained at *marR-1* locus. This is the lowest selection stringency frequency for counter-selection reported so far. Similarly, at *araB* locus of *E. coli* selection stringency frequency of *P_{BAD}-kil-sd-E* was improved 170- fold to 3×10^{-9} after introducing plasmid pKDsg-ack.

In conclusion, we have developed and optimized a newly universal counter-selection marker based on lysis gene *E*. The best selection stringency of this new marker exceeds the inducible toxins system several fold. We provide a valuable counter-selection marker with high selection stringency to the genome editing toolbox.

Keyword: Counter-selection, Selection stringency frequency, lysis gene *E*, Red recombination, metabolic engineering

Background

Foreigner DNA fragments are usually needed to be introduced into bacteria. They can be constructed into replicative plasmids, plasmids are inherently unstable, limiting the application of this strategy in researches with high requirements for the stability of results. As a better alternative, exogenous DNA fragments should be irreversibly incorporated into chromosome or other stable DNA molecule inside the cell (Heap et al., 2012). For this sake, DNA fragments of interest could be combined with positive selection markers, typically using an antibiotic resistance gene, allowing desired recombinant cells to be selected and isolated after a single-crossover or double-crossover recombination. Positive selection markers can also be removed through specific procedure, such as FLP- or CRE-mediated recombination (Meyers, Lewandoski, & Martin, 1998), but *frt* or *loxP* site will leave behind inevitably (Lee et al., 2001). Generally speaking, for the sake of biosecurity or eliminating unanticipated effects, residual of resistance genes or *frt* and *loxP* scars on the genome is not expected during functional genome analysis and metabolic engineering research.

This issue can be overcome using a two-step selection method by combining positive-negative selection cassette. In particular, a positive-negative selection cassette could be introduced and recombinants are selected according to positive selection markers, then exogenous DNA fragments are transformed into cells to replace the above-mentioned cassette and the final desired recombinants are selected against the counter-selection gene.

Many positive selection markers are highly efficient so recombinants could be easily acquired in the first recombination event. In contrast, counter-selection markers are not so satisfactory. The main problem of counter-selection markers is that high background causes great difficulties for counter-selection, especially in the case of low recombination efficiency (Imam et al., 2000; Muyrers et al., 2000; Y. Zhang, F. Buchholz, J. P. Muyrers, & A. F. Stewart, 1998). Several research groups are being dedicated to developing and optimizing better counter-selection markers (DeVito, 2008; Ma et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2014; Wong et

al., 2005) and some novel counter-selection marker genes have been reported. Among them *tetA-sacB* and inducible toxins system are the most outstanding (Khetrapal et al., 2015; Li, Thomason, Sawitzke, & Costantino, 2013). It is worth mentioning that inducible toxins system possesses the highest selection stringency (1.1×10^{-8} to 3.31×10^{-8}) which even comes up to that of positive antibiotic markers in some strains (Khetrapal et al., 2015). Despite these achievements, excellent counter-selection markers are still scanty. In view of the situation, we are motivated to develop robust counter-selection marker and provide new strategy for optimizing counter-selection efficiency.

Lysis gene *E* of bacteriophage PhiX174 was discovered to have a role in lysing *Escherichia coli* in 1966 for the first time (Hutchison & Sinsheimer, 1966), and the subsequent researches shows that expression of this gene is sufficient to cause lysis of *E. coli* (Henrich, Lubitz, & Plapp, 1982; Young & Young, 1982). Lysis gene *E* codes for a 91-aa membrane protein with hydrophobic moieties at its N-terminal end that oligomerizes into a transmembrane tunnel structure (Blasi, Linke, & Lubitz, 1989; Witte & Lubitz, 1989). This specific tunnel structure is associated with the fusion of the inner and outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria, leaving behind empty envelopes devoid of all cytoplasmic content (Hajam, Dar, Won, & Lee, 2017; Witte, Wanner, Sulzner, & Lubitz, 1992). Non-living Gram-negative bacterial empty envelopes caused by E protein are known as Bacterial Ghosts (BG), representing a potential platform both for potent candidate vaccines and for technical applications in white biotechnology (Hajam et al., 2017; Langemann et al., 2010; Won, Hajam, & Lee, 2017). BGs of many Gram-negative strains have been prepared successfully, including *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Salmonella enteritidis*, *Bordetella bronchiseptica*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, etc (Langemann et al., 2010). In view of the universal and potent killing effect mediated by lysis protein E in Gram-negative strains, we focus our effort on developing lysis *E* gene as a universal marker gene to try to expand the existing counter-selection tool chest, which is especially useful for host strains lack of facile genetic tools.

This study reports on the introduction of lysis gene *E* of PhiX174 to create “seamless” genetic manipulations in Gram-negative strains. Under the control of the lambda *pL* promoter and AraC/ P_{BAD} inducible system, lysis gene *E* can effectively promote the death of *E. coli* and *Serratia marcescens*, and the selection stringency of lysis gene *E* is similar to the next best reported *tetA-sacB* double-negative selection system. By co-expressing lysis gene *E* and *kil*-negative gene (*kil-sd-E* counter-selection cassette) through a classic RBS (Ribosome Binding Site) sequence, the selection stringency frequency is even comparable with the best counter-selection system, inducible toxins system. By introducing *araC* gene harboring plasmid, the selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* arrives at the level of 10^{-9} both in *E. coli* and in *S. marcescens*. This is the lowest selection stringency frequency for counter-selection reported so far, exceeding the best counter selection system, inducible toxins system, several fold.

Due to the universal lethal effect of expression of lysis protein E, counter selection cassette based on lysis *E* or *kil-sd-E* could be applicable to many, if not all, Gram-negative strains. And the strategy reported in this article of combining two short counter-selection genes could be used to increase the counter-selection efficiency of other negative markers, which can provide more powerful tools to the genome editing toolbox.

Methods

Bacteria strains and plasmids ‘

All bacteria strains used in this paper are listed in Table 1. *E. coli* Strains MG1655 are generous gifts from Professor Sheng Yang. *E. coli* Strains harboring *kil* counter-selection system was constructed previously in our lab. *S. marcescens* strain GY1 was isolated previously in our lab. Plasmids pKD46 and pSim6 are generous gifts from Doctor Donald L. Court. Plasmid pKDsg-ack was purchased from Addgene (www.addgene.org, 62654).

Medium and antibiotics

Standard cultures were propagated in Luria Bertani (LB) broth or LB agar with or without antibiotics. Antibiotics are added at the following concentrations: 50 μ g/mL of ampicillin, 25 μ g/mL of kanamycin and

50 µg/mL of gentamicin for *E. coli* ; 1 mg/mL of ampicillin, 100 µg/mL of kanamycin and 50 µg/mL of gentamicin for *S. marcescens* .

Primer designation

All oligos were synthesized in Sangon Biotech (China) and listed in Table 2.

High-fidelity polymerase PrimeSTAR[®] GXL DNA polymerase (Takara, Japan) were used for amplifying linear dsDNA targeting fragments. Primers used to produce these targeting fragments were chimeric primers containing two parts: 38 bases homologous to the sequence surrounding the target locus were designed at 5' end of primers, while about 20 bases of amplifying sequence were at 3' end. After purification following PCR amplification, linear dsDNA was electroporated into cells for Red recombination. Single-stranded DNA, sspigA-F, used to delete P_{BAD} -*E-Gm^R* or P_{BAD} -*kil-sd-E-Gm^R* selection/counter-selection cassettes inserted into the *pigA* locus of *S. marcescens* was reported in our previous study (W. Chen, Chen, & Cao, 2021).

Preparation of electroporation competent and cell transformation

600 µl of overnight cultures were 1:50 diluted into 30 ml fresh LB medium and grown at 30 °C to an OD₆₀₀=0.4-0.6. If Red recombinases harbored by plasmid pSim6 were needed to be expressed, cultures were then shaken at 42 °C for 15 min in a water bath. Cultures were centrifugated at 4 °C for 15 min, washed 3~4 times with 20 ml of cold deionized water. Cell pellet was ultimately suspended in 0.5 ml of deionized water. 40 µl of fresh prepared competent cells was used for an electroporation reaction. Electroporation was carried out using Gene-Pulser (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) at 1.8 kv, 25 µF and 200 Ω. Electroporated cells were cultured in 2 ml of LB broth with shaking for 2 h and then spread onto corresponding counter-selective agar plates. In detail, for counter-selection marker controlled by AraC/ P_{BAD} inducible system, cells were spread onto LB agar plates supplemented with 0.4% arabinose and grown at 30 °C; for counter-selection marker controlled by pL promoter, cells were spread onto normal LB agar plates at cultivated at 42 °C.

Verification of recombinants after positive or negative selection

After spread onto corresponding selective agar plates, colony PCR was used for identification of positive recombinants. A general principle for designing diagnostic PCR primer is: one primer is surrounding the target region and the other is inside the donor DNA. Two paired primers surrounding each side of the target region respectively were also designed in some case to display the different size of target DNA between the original strains and positive recombinants.

Assessment of the lethal effect

Streak cultivation was performed to analyze lethal effect of counter-selection marker under the control of *pL* promoter: colony grown well at 30 °C were streak-cultured onto

plate and placed at 42 °C to observe the lethal effect, and another plate with the same treatment was placed at 30 °C as a control.

To assess the lethal effect of counter-selection marker under the control of AraC/ P_{BAD} inducible system, colony was inoculated into 2 ml of LB broth supplemented with or without 0.4% arabinose and incubated for 12 to 16 h.

Spot titers experiment was performed according to previous report (Haeusser et al., 2014). In short, overnight culture was serially 10-fold diluted and 10 µL of diluted culture as indicated in the figures was spotted on the plates under corresponding counter-selection conditions, and another plate without the stress of counter-selection was used as a control.

Selection stringency analysis

Selection stringency measurement is according to the report of Khetrpal with some minor modifications (Khetrpal et al., 2015). In brief, overnight cultures were 1:50 diluted and grown at 30 °C to OD₆₀₀=0.4~0.6. 10⁴-10⁵ diluted cultures were spread onto non-restrictive LB agar plates and grown at 30 °C to quantify the

number of surviving bacterial (CFU) in the overnight cultures. At the same time, about 10^{10} re-suspended cells after centrifugation were spread onto appropriate restrictive plates or placed at specific counter-selection conditions to quantify the number of colonies which escape the lethal effect of counter-selection gene.

Selection stringency frequency was determined by dividing the number of escaping colonies on restrictive plates by the CFU that grew on non-restrictive conditions.

Plasmid curing and electroporation

For the curing of plasmid pSim6, cells carrying pSim6 was inoculated into 2 ml of LB without ampicillin addition and incubated for 12 to 16 h at 37 °C. Cells equivalent in quantity to 10^{-4} μ L of cultures were spread onto LB plates and grown at 37 °C. The colonies were confirmed as cured by determining their sensitivity to ampicillin (1 mg/mL for *S. marcescens* and 100 μ g/mL for *E. coli*) and by PCR identification of the elimination of pSim6.

Plasmid pKDsg-ack was then electroporated into the above pSim6-eliminated cells and spread onto LB agar plates supplemented with ampicillin (1 mg/mL for *S. marcescens* and 100 μ g/mL for *E. coli*).

Results:

Potential of lysis gene E as a counter-selection marker gene

Several previous studies have reported that expression of lysis gene *E* could promote the death of many Gram-negative bacteria through fusion of the inner and outer membranes. These results show that the product of lysis gene *E* has a universal killing effect on Gram-negative bacteria, which prompt us to explore the potential of lysis gene *E* being a generic counter-selection marker gene for genetic modifications in Gram-negative bacteria. For this purpose, expression of lysis gene *E* should be controllable. In our previous work, *kil* counter-selection cassette under the control of promoter *pL* function well in *E. coli* (Wei Chen et al., 2019). We want to test the killing effect of lysis gene *E* under the same condition. Therefore, plasmid pBBR1-MC5 and the CDS (Coding Sequence) of lysis gene *E* were firstly ligated after digestion with *Sph* I and *Nco* I. Thus, the CDS of lysis gene *E* is linked with the gentamycin resistance gene *Gm^R* in the newly constructed plasmid pBBR1-E (Fig. 1A). Using dsDNA mediated Red homologous recombination, PCR amplified *E-Gm^R* (including gentamycin resistance gene and CDS of lysis gene E) cassette was then used to substitute the *kil* CDS of *tet-kil* double selection cassette of *E. coli* MG-10 (Figure 1B). The goal strain, named as CWE-1, was successfully constructed (Fig 1C). To exclude the interference of *Gm^R* , *tet-pl-E* cassette was amplified and used to substituted the CDS of *ack* . The goal strain was names as CWE-2 (Fig. 1D).

According to our expectation, since expression of lysis gene *E* in CWE-2 is driven by the *pL* promoter, which is in turn under the control of temperature-sensitive cI857 repressor (Wei Chen et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2000), lysis gene *E* could be induced because of the loss-of-function of the repressor cI857 at 42 °C. If expression of lysis gene *E* 42 °C could effectively cause the death of host cell, it has the potential of being a counter-selection marker. As shown in Fig. 2A, after streak culture no growth traces of CWE-2 can be found at LB agar plate placed at 42 °C. This is similar to the positive control strain MG-10, in which counter-selection marker gene *kil* constructed was induced to kill host strain (Wei Chen et al., 2019). At the same time, obvious growth of the negative control strain MG1655 [pSim6] appeared on agar plate. In contrast, all strains grew well at 30 °C. It indicates that our newly constructed lysis gene *E* expression cassette meet the requirement of a negative-selection marker gene: having no negative influence in the growth of host cell under non-induced conditions, while effectively killing its host under induced conditions. This is also verified by spot titers experiment (Fig. 2B). Our results indicate that lysis gene *E* has good potential to be a counter-selection marker.

Seamless modification testing of the lysis gene E counter-selection cassette in *E. coli*

To directly investigate the effect of lysis gene *E* in counter-selection, PCR amplified 1,000 bp DNA fragment of *catenin* gene from *Helicoverpa armigera* with 38 bp of short homologous arms was used to substitute the

foreign *tet-pL-E* at the *ack* locus of CWE-2. At 42 °C sparsely spread colonies were shown in the control group which was electroporated without adding DNA, whereas much more colonies appeared in the group electroporated with dsDNA (Fig. 2C). The following colony PCR showed that 9 out of 10 randomly selected colonies were all correct recombinants (Fig. 2D). These results suggest that seamless modification using lysis gene *E* as a counter-selection marker is feasible in *E. coli*.

Application of

lysis gene *E* counter-selection cassette in *S. marcescens*

A counter-selection marker would be more attractive if it has a universal application. In the interest of generality, application of lysis gene *E* counter-selection cassette in other bacteria should be tested.

S. marcescens, a rod-shaped gram-negative bacterium, have the ability to produce a variety of valuable metabolites, such as prodigiosin, chitinase and serratiopeptidase (Emruzi et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2019; Velez-Gomez, Melchor-Moncada, Veloza, & Sepulveda-Arias, 2019; Yip et al., 2019). We select *S. marcescens* to test the counter-selection effect of lysis gene *E* cassette. Unfortunately, high-temperature condition like 42 °C is not suitable for the growth of *S. marcescens* (W. Chen et al., 2021). We resorted to the AraC/P_{BAD} regulatory system which function well in this species. At first, *E-Gm^R* fragment with short homologous arms was amplified and transformed into competent MG1655[pKD46] to substitute the CDS of Red recombinases in plasmid pKD46 to construct the goal plasmid pKD-EG. Therefore, expression of lysis gene *E* is thoroughly controlled by AraC/P_{BAD} inducible system (Fig. 3A). After identification (Fig. 3B), plasmid pKD-EG was transformed into *S. marcescens* GY1 for functional testing. GY1[pKD-EG] grew well in LB broth, but it cannot survive in LB broth supplemented with 0.4% arabinose, and the LB broth is very clear even 18h after inoculation (Fig. 3C). This result indicates that expression of lysis gene *E* through AraC/P_{BAD} regulatory system could effectively kill its host.

In genomic seamless modification, counter-selection marker usually works in single copy. Therefore, the counter-selection effect of lysis gene *E* inserted in the chromosome must be evaluated. The 2,303 bp *P_{BAD}-E-Gm^R* (including AraC/P_{BAD} regulatory system, CDS of lysis gene *E* and gentamycin resistance gene *Gm^R*) double selection cassette was therefore inserted into *pigA* CDS of *S. marcescens*. The successfully constructed strain was named as GY4 (Fig. 3D). Obvious differences can be seen when GY4 grown in LB broth without or with the addition of 0.4% arabinose (Fig. 3E). This result indicates that single copy of counter-selection marker gene *E* is also efficient. It is further verified by the spot dilution experiment: GY4 grew well on LB plate, while it cannot survive on arabinose added plate and only a faintly layer of dead cells was observed (Fig. 3F). It should be noted that *pigA* is an indispensably gene for the synthesis of prodigiosin, a kind of red pigment, therefore GY1 shows red phenotype while *pigA* gene mutated GY4 is white.

Having verified the lethality of chromosomal expressing lysis gene *E* in *S. marcescens*, reverse mutation experiment was finally conducted to test its counter-selection effect in genomic modification. Using ssDNA mediated Red recombination, we tried to repair the insertion inactivation of *pigA* gene in GY4 (Fig. S1). Numerous red colonies dotted with a few white colonies were observed after transported GY4 with ssDNA, while only sparse white colonies appear in the control group without adding ssDNA (Fig. 4A). Colony in red indicates that the ability of synthesizing prodigiosin was repaired due to successful reverse mutation, which is verified by colony PCR identification (Fig. 4B).

dsDNA mediated homologous recombination was also tested. Partial fragment of T7 RNA polymerase (~ 1,000 bp in length) was amplified and transported into GY4 to substitute the *P_{BAD}-E-Gm^R* cassette. 4 out of 10 of randomly selected colonies were true recombinants (Fig. 4C).

All these results suggest that lysis gene *E* counter-selection cassette can be used in *S. marcescens* for genomic seamless modification.

Improving selection stringency frequency through combining *E* and *kil*

In genomic seamless modification, selection stringency frequency is a decisive factor that influences counter-

selection and therefor an indicator for evaluating an excellent counter-selection marker(Khetrapal et al., 2015). The higher the selection stringency frequency is, the lower the background it causes. Low background is very conducive to the selection of recombinants during seamless modification. The selection stringency frequency of lysis *E* under the control of promoter *pL* at *ack* locus in MG1655 is about 2.7×10^{-7} (Fig. 5A), performing better than the *kil* counter-selection cassette constructed in our previous work (Wei Chen et al., 2019). However, it is still much lower than the best counter-selection system, inducible toxins system(Khetrapal et al., 2015).

We tried to increase the selection stringency by combing the two counter-selection marker genes. At first, CDS of lysis gene *E* with a consensus RBS sequence (AAGGAGATATACAT) and gentamycin resistance gene *Gm^R* were inserted immediately behind the stop codon of *kil* gene at *ack* locus of *E. coli* MG-10. In this constructed strain CWE-3, *kil* and *E* were expressed as a bi-cistron under the control of promoter *pL* and repressor *cI857* (Fig. S2). We named this combining counter-selection cassette *askil-sd-E*. Then the selection stringency of these counter-selection systems was compared. At the *ack* locus, selection stringency frequency of *E* is 2.7×10^{-7} , several fold lower than that of *kil* (8.7×10^{-7}). While selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* was significantly decreased to 4.9×10^{-8} (Fig. 5A), which is very close to the best reported inducible toxins system.

In consideration that insertion sites may influence stringency, another non-essential gene locus *araB* were selected for the further analysis. Selection stringency frequency of *E* (2.9×10^{-6}) and *kil* (2.1×10^{-6}) are almost at the same level. But selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* dropped sharply to 3.2×10^{-8} at this locus, about 65- to 90- fold lower than the above two counter-selection system (Fig. 5B). This result hints that co-expression of *kil* and *E* in the form of bi-cistron can significantly increase their selection stringency in *E. coli* to the degree to the best reported inducible toxins system.

To explore whether it also works in other bacteria, *S. marcescens* was used for the subsequent research. At first, *E-Gm^R* and *kil-sd-E-Gm^R* were amplified from plasmid pBBR-E and strain CWE-3 separately and then were used to substitute the CDS of *araB* to construct the goal strain MG-4A and MG-4B (Fig. S3). Sole expression of *E* or co-expression of *kil* and *E* were both under the control of AraC/P_{BAD} in the two strains. After adding 0.4% arabinose into LB broth, both MG-4A and MG-4B can't grow normally. In particular, the LB broth was very clear in the MG-4B cultivating tube 18h after inoculation, while slightly turbid cultivated bacteria can be seen in the MG-4A cultivating tube (Fig. 5C). These results indicate that *E* and *kil-sd-E* counter-selection marker under the control of AraC/P_{BAD} function well in *E. coli*. It also hints that co-expression of *kil* and *E* is of better lethal effect than the sole expression of *E*.

AraC/P_{BAD}-*kil-sd-E-Gm^R* cassette was then introduced into *S. marcescens* to insert into the CDS of *pigA*. The goal strain was named as GY5. Selection stringency frequency of GY5 (1.4×10^{-7}) is about 10- fold lower than that of GY4 (1.9×10^{-6}) (Fig. 5D). The result hints that counter-selection system in GY5 should perform better than GY4. This was then verified by the ssDNA mediated mutation repair: compared with GY4 (Fig. 4A), no matter in the control group or in the ssDNA added group, fewer white colonies were shown on GY5 (Fig. 5E). When 1,000 bp T7 RNA polymerase gene fragment was used to substitute the AraC/P_{BAD}-*kil-sd-E-Gm^R* in GY5, 6 out of 10 randomly selected colonies were correct (Fig. 5G). The ratio of correct recombinants is also higher than that in GY4 (Fig. 4C).

All these results show that co-expression of *kil* and *E* can elevate the selection stringency by orders of magnitude in multiple species and decrease the number of escaping colonies during seamless modification.

Improving selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* by introducing plasmid pKDsg-ack

Selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* under the control of AraC/P_{BAD} system was at the level of 10^{-7} at the *pigA* locus (Fig. 5H). We analyzed other three loci of *S. marcescens* (The first gene code for MarR family transcriptional regulator, we named it as *marR-1*; the second gene *rcsA* codes for DNA-binding transcriptional activator; the last gene code for a hypothetical protein, we named it as *hyp-1*), the results are similar (Fig. 6A), other than a very low stringency frequency occurred at *marR-1* locus (2.5×10^{-8}). Although selection stringency frequency of 10^{-7} is relatively high and even better than the next best *tetA-sacB* system

in *E. coli*, it is still not as good as *kil-sd-E* counter-selection marker controlled by *pL* promoter.

To provide a more powerful generic counter-selection marker, we want to elevate the selection stringency of this system. Promoter P_{BAD} is dual regulated by transcription factors AraC. AraC can bind to P_{BAD} and act as repressor or inducer depending on the absence or presence of arabinose. The increase in the number of transcription factor AraC can not only promote the expression of gene it controlled after addition of arabinose, but also reduce leakage expression of gene it controlled in the absence of inducer. Therefore, we suspected that the existence of multiple *araC* genes is very likely to be beneficial to the enhancement of selection stringency.

Plasmid pKDsg-ack which carried the *araC* gene (Reisch & Prather, 2015) was introduced into the host cell after the original plasmid pSim6 being cured under 37degC cultivation. In MG1655, selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* at *araB* locus in pKDsg-ack harboring strain decreased surprisingly to 3×10^{-9} . This is the lowest selection stringency frequency reported so far. And it is about 170- fold lower than that of pSim6 harboring strain (Fig. 6B). It must be pointed out that plasmid pKDsg-ack is not lethal to host in the presence of arabinose (Fig. S4).

In *S. marcescens*, selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* reached 10^{-8} to 10^{-9} at all these loci, about 10-fold lower than before. In detail, the selection stringency frequency at the *pigA*, *rcaA*, and *hyp* loci was 3.1×10^{-8} , 4.7×10^{-8} and 2.5×10^{-8} (Fig. 6C). A surprisingly low selection stringency frequency, 4.8×10^{-9} (Fig. 6C), occurred at the *mar* locus.

Discussion

Classic genomic modification to knockout out genes is usually conducted by inserting antibiotic resistance markers. This is simple and easy to implement. However, the existence of unwanted antibiotic resistance marker genes may leave some unexpected effects, which is not expected by researchers in metabolic engineering and functional genome analysis. Through two-step selection/counter-selection method, target genes can be edited without alerting other parts of the chromosome. This unmarked mutation, known as “seamless modification”, overcomes the above-mentioned shortcomings.

Although many counter-selection markers have been developed, such as *assacB*, *ccdb*, *I-SceI*, *galk*, *mazF* (Z. Chen, Ling, & Shang, 2016; DeVito, 2008; Muyrers et al., 2000; Van Zyl, Dicks, & Deane, 2019; Wang et al., 2014; Warming, Costantino, Court, Jenkins, & Copeland, 2005) etc., excellent markers are still limited. Counter-selection genes suffer from some problems which limit their application in genomic modification, among which high background is a serious obstacle. For example, although *sacB* is most widely used, researchers have to face low positive rates due to the spontaneous mutations that inactivate *sacB* (Khetrapal et al., 2015; Y. Zhang, F. Buchholz, J. P. Muyrers, & A. F. J. N. g. Stewart, 1998). Therefore, low background is an important consideration when counter-selection genes are to be chosen.

Selection stringency frequency is an indicator that shows the level of background during counter-selection. It has a crucial role of determining the ratio of correct recombinants in counter-selection (Wei Chen et al., 2019). Selection stringency is reflecting by calculating the fraction of viable colonies that escape the selection stress. The smaller the number is, the higher the stringency is (Khetrapal et al., 2015). So far, inducible toxins system performs best among all the developed counter-selection system, followed by *tetA-sacB* cassette. As for toxins system, the best selection stringency frequency achieved in *E. coli* is 1.11×10^{-8} , which exceeds the next best reported *tetA-sacB* system up to 60- fold. The selection stringency frequency even approaches that of kanamycin resistance gene (2.4×10^{-9}) (Khetrapal et al., 2015). Excellent counter-selection markers like inducible toxins system will greatly improve the positive rates and avoid wasting time on redundant work during genomic seamless modification.

Inspired by the inducible toxins system, in this paper we developed a powerful generic counter-selection system for genomic modification, especially for some species which lack efficient genetic tools. Lysis gene *E* is of general killing effect on Gram-negative bacteria. Given the speculation that counter-selection based on a universal lethal gene would be applicable generally. We focused our attention on the developing

and optimizing of lysis *E* as a counter-selection marker. Expression of lysis gene *E* under the control of *pL* promoter efficiently killed its host. dsDNA mediated seamless modification were also successfully performed to substitute target region, and the ratio of correct recombinants is higher than *kil* counter-selection marker constructed previously in our lab (Wei Chen et al., 2019). In *S. marcescens*, lysis gene *E* also can be used for counter-selection marker both through ssDNA and dsDNA mediated recombination. However, the ratio of correct recombinants through dsDNA mediated recombination is lower than that in *E. coli*. Maybe the difference in ratio of correct recombinants can be attributed to different gene expression regulation system used for controlling lysis gene *E*. After all, more lysis protein *E* could be produced to kill bacteria under the control of strong promoter *pL* compared with rigorous *P_{BAD}* promoter.

Lysis gene *E* could be used as a counter-selection marker both in *E. coli* and in *S. marcescens*. Since it has general lethal efficiency in Gram-negative strains, it is also applicable in other Gram-negative strains as long as promoter *pL* and *P_{BAD}* could work. Although a universal counter-selection gene based on *E* was developed by us, there still existed escaping colonies during seamless modification, especially in *S. marcescens*. This leads to relative low ratio of correct recombinants during PCR identification when dsDNA mediated recombination was conducted in *S. marcescens*. Of course escaping colonies also existed in ssDNA mediated recombination, high ratio of correct recombinants is attributed to the high recombination efficiency of ssDNA itself (Ellis, Yu, & DiTizio, 2001). It should be pointed out that in order to make the colonies exhibit clearly in the LB plate, only 5 μ l of recovered cells after transportation was plated in ssDNA recombination, while 100 μ l was plated in dsDNA recombination.

To provide a good alternative tool for seamless modification, counter-selection system based on lysis gene *E* need to be optimized to improve its selection stringency. In our previous work, we developed a counter-selection cassette based on *kil* gene of lambda phage. It performs well in *E. coli* and its selection stringency is comparable to the next best counter-selection system *tetA -sacB*. Both *kil* gene and *E* gene are short in length: the CDS of *kil* and *E* is 144 bp and 273 bp separately. We believe that combining *kil* and *E* gene in a certain way would be a good idea. An obvious benefit is that the selection stringency would be greatly improved. What is more, it will not bring any additional difficulties to the experimental process. After all, CDS length of the counter-selection cassette after combining the two genes is less than 500 bp, which is shorter than most of the counter-selection markers. We have tried to combine the two gene through fusion expression, however no selection stringency improvement was observed (data now shown). Maybe the function of proteins was affected by the changes in spatial conformation after fusion expression. We think co-express the two genes in the form of bi-cistron should be a better alternative solution from the perspective of reducing the background. Because nonsenses mutation in the first gene would not inactivate the second gene since independent products are translated, which is not applicable for fusion expression. Co-express *kil* and *E* gene through a classic RBS between their CDS indeed greatly improved the selection stringency to the level of the best reported toxins inducible system in *E. coli* (4.9×10^{-8} at *ack* locus and 3.2×10^{-8} at *araB* locus). Surely, it is possible to obtain better selection stringency through combining *kil* and *E* in other ways, such as improving translation initiation of the second gene using “bicistronic design” (BCD) reported by Mutalik (Mutalik et al., 2013). Many other strategies could also be used, such as combining more counter-selection markers with short size in length. Our work, in this paper, mainly aims to provide an example for improving selection stringency based on existing markers.

Other than developing the high selection-stringent *kil-sd-E* counter-selection cassette under the control of *pL* promoter in this paper, we also constructed AraC/PBAD controlling *kil-sd-E* marker which could be used in bacteria where high temperature is not suitable for growth. In *S. marcescens*, it does not perform as excellent as *pL* promoted *kil-sd-E*. By introducing *araC* gene harboring plasmid, the selection stringency was improved 4- to 170- fold. In particular, the selection stringency at these loci tested in this paper is all comparable to the best counter-selection system, inducible toxins system. At *araB* locus in *E. coli* and *marR-1* locus in *S. marcescens*, it reaches the level of 10^{-9} , the lowest selection stringency frequency for counter-selection so far.

This gives us an enlightenment: we could integrate the regulating elements of counter-selection markers,

such as *araC* gene, into Red recombinases genes harboring plasmid. Therefore, counter-selection cassette will be reduced greatly in size. Take AraC/P_{BAD}-*kil-sd-E-Gm^R* double selection cassette as an example, if *araC* gene was integrated into the Red recombination system providing plasmid, only 1,327 bp P_{BAD}-*kil-sd-E-Gm^R* cassette was needed for seamless modification. This will not only facilitate PCR amplification, but also improve recombination efficiency because longer substrate dsDNA decreases the chances of a λ Red recombination event (Wei Chen et al., 2019).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a new counter-selection system based on lysis gene *E*. In view of the generic lethality to Gram-negative bacteria, it is very promising to be a universal alternative for genomic seamless modification. Counter-selection cassette based on lysis gene *E* under two sets of expression regulation system, cI/pL and AraC/P_{BAD}, were developed to adapt to different hosts. Seamless modification was conducted successfully and the highest selection stringency so far was obtained both in *E. coli* and in *S. marcescens*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. Donald L. Court for providing plasmid pKD46, strains DY330 and W3110. Special thanks to Professor Sheng Yang for providing the strain MG1655.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Wei Chen, Ruyi Chen and Xiaotong Wu conducted the experiments; Wei Chen designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. Ling He revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

All the co-authors approved to published this work in *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Science and Technology Program of Guangdong Province (2015A010107014), Grant-in-Aid from the Natural Scientific Foundation of China (31501895).

References

- Blasi, U., Linke, R. P., & Lubitz, W. (1989). Evidence for membrane-bound oligomerization of bacteriophage phi X174 lysis protein-E. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *264* (8), 4552-4558. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2466836>
- Chen, W., Chen, R., & Cao, J. (2021). Rapid Genome Modification in *Serratia marcescens* Through Red Homologous Recombination. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. doi:10.1007/s12010-021-03576-y
- Chen, W., Li, Y., Wu, G., Zhao, L., Lu, L., Wang, P., . . . Li, S. (2019). Simple and efficient genome recombineering using kil counter-selection in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biotechnology*, *294*, 58-66.
- Chen, Z., Ling, W., & Shang, G. (2016). Recombineering and I-SceI-mediated *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 scarless gene deletion. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, *363* (21). doi:10.1093/femsle/fnw231
- DeVito, J. A. (2008). Recombineering with tolC as a selectable/counter-selectable marker: remodeling the rRNA operons of *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *36* (1), e4-e4.
- Ellis, H. M., Yu, D., & DiTizio, T. (2001). High efficiency mutagenesis, repair, and engineering of chromosomal DNA using single-stranded oligonucleotides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *98* (12), 6742-6746.

- Emruzi, Z., Aminzadeh, S., Karkhane, A. A., Alikhajeh, J., Haghbeen, K., & Gholami, D. (2018). Improving the thermostability of *Serratia marcescens* B4A chitinase via G191V site-directed mutagenesis. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, *116*, 64-70. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.05.014
- Haeusser, D. P., Hoashi, M., Weaver, A., Brown, N., Pan, J., Sawitzke, J. A., . . . Margolin, W. (2014). The Kil peptide of bacteriophage λ blocks *Escherichia coli* cytokinesis via ZipA-dependent inhibition of FtsZ assembly. *PLoS Genetics*, *10* (3), e1004217.
- Hajam, I. A., Dar, P. A., Won, G., & Lee, J. H. (2017). Bacterial ghosts as adjuvants: mechanisms and potential. *Veterinary Research*, *48*. doi:ARTN 37
10.1186/s13567-017-0442-5
- Heap, J. T., Ehsaan, M., Cooksley, C. M., Ng, Y.-K., Cartman, S. T., Winzer, K., & Minton, N. P. J. N. a. r. (2012). Integration of DNA into bacterial chromosomes from plasmids without a counter-selection marker. *40* (8), e59-e59.
- Henrich, B., Lubitz, W., & Plapp, R. (1982). Lysis of *Escherichia coli* by induction of cloned phi X174 genes. *Molecular and General Genetics*, *185* (3), 493-497. doi:10.1007/BF00334146
- Hutchison, C. A., 3rd, & Sinsheimer, R. L. (1966). The process of infection with bacteriophage phi-X174. X. Mutations in a phi-X Lysis gene. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *18* (3), 429-447. doi:10.1016/s0022-2836(66)80035-9
- Imam, A. A., Patrinos, G. P., de Krom, M., Bottardi, S., Janssens, R. J., Katsantoni, E., . . . Grosveld, F. G. (2000). Modification of human β -globin locus PAC clones by homologous recombination in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *28* (12), e65-e65.
- Khetrupal, V., Mehershahi, K., Rafee, S., Chen, S., Lim, C. L., & Chen, S. L. (2015). A set of powerful negative selection systems for unmodified Enterobacteriaceae. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *43* (13), e83-e83.
- Langemann, T., Koller, V. J., Muhammad, A., Kudela, P., Mayr, U. B., & Lubitz, W. (2010). The Bacterial Ghost platform system: production and applications. *Bioeng Bugs*, *1* (5), 326-336. doi:10.4161/bbug.1.5.12540
- Lee, E.-C., Yu, D., De Velasco, J. M., Tessarollo, L., Swing, D. A., Court, D. L., . . . Copeland, N. G. (2001). A highly efficient *Escherichia coli*-based chromosome engineering system adapted for recombinogenic targeting and subcloning of BAC DNA. *Genomics*, *73* (1), 56-65.
- Li, X.-t., Thomason, L. C., Sawitzke, J. A., & Costantino, N. (2013). Positive and negative selection using the tetA-sacB cassette: recombineering and P1 transduction in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41* (22), e204-e204.
- Ma, W., Wang, X., Mao, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, T., & Zhao, X. (2015). Development of a markerless gene replacement system in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* using upp as a counter-selection marker. *Biotechnology Letters*, *37* (3), 609-617. doi:10.1007/s10529-014-1718-8
- Meyers, E. N., Lewandoski, M., & Martin, G. R. (1998). An Fgf8 mutant allelic series generated by Cre- and FLP-mediated recombination. *Nature Genetics*, *18* (2), 136-141. doi:10.1038/ng0298-136
- Mutalik, V. K., Guimaraes, J. C., Cambray, G., Lam, C., Christoffersen, M. J., Mai, Q. A., . . . Endy, D. (2013). Precise and reliable gene expression via standard transcription and translation initiation elements. *Nature Methods*, *10* (4), 354-360. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2404
- Muyrers, J. P., Zhang, Y., Benes, V., Testa, G., Ansorge, W., & Stewart, A. F. (2000). Point mutation of bacterial artificial chromosomes by ET recombination. *EMBO reports*, *1* (3), 239-243.
- Pan, X., Sun, C., Tang, M., You, J., Osire, T., Zhao, Y., . . . Rao, Z. (2019). LysR-Type Transcriptional Regulator MetR Controls Prodigiosin Production, Methionine Biosynthesis, Cell Motility, H₂O₂ Tolerance,

Heat Tolerance, and Exopolysaccharide Synthesis in *Serratia marcescens*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 86 (4). doi:10.1128/AEM.02241-19

Reisch, C. R., & Prather, K. L. (2015). The no-SCAR (Scarless Cas9 Assisted Recombineering) system for genome editing in *Escherichia coli*. *Scientific Reports*, 5 , 15096. doi:10.1038/srep15096

Van Zyl, W. F., Dicks, L. M., & Deane, S. M. J. B. m. b. (2019). Development of a novel selection/counter-selection system for chromosomal gene integrations and deletions in lactic acid bacteria. 20 (1), 1-16.

Velez-Gomez, J. M., Melchor-Moncada, J. J., Veloza, L. A., & Sepulveda-Arias, J. C. (2019). Corrigendum to "Purification and characterization of a metalloprotease produced by the C8 isolate of *Serratia marcescens* using silkworm pupae or casein as a protein source" [Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 135 (2019) 97-105]. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 138 , 1142. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.07.142

Wang, H., Bian, X., Xia, L., Ding, X., Müller, R., Zhang, Y., . . . Stewart, A. F. (2014). Improved seamless mutagenesis by recombineering using *ccdB* for counterselection. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42 (5), e37-e37.

Warming, S., Costantino, N., Court, D. L., Jenkins, N. A., & Copeland, N. G. (2005). Simple and highly efficient BAC recombineering using *galK* selection. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33 (4), e36. doi:10.1093/nar/gni035

Witte, A., & Lubitz, W. (1989). Biochemical characterization of phi X174-protein-E-mediated lysis of *Escherichia coli*. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 180 (2), 393-398. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1989.tb14661.x

Witte, A., Wanner, G., Sulzner, M., & Lubitz, W. (1992). Dynamics of PhiX174 protein E-mediated lysis of *Escherichia coli*. *Archives of Microbiology*, 157 (4), 381-388. doi:10.1007/BF00248685

Won, G., Hajam, I. A., & Lee, J. H. (2017). Improved lysis efficiency and immunogenicity of *Salmonella* ghosts mediated by co-expression of lambda phage holin-endolysin and X174 gene E. *Scientific Reports*, 7 , 45139. doi:10.1038/srep45139

Wong, Q. N., Ng, V. C., Lin, M. C., Kung, H.-f., Chan, D., & Huang, J.-D. (2005). Efficient and seamless DNA recombineering using a thymidylate synthase A selection system in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33 (6), e59-e59.

Yip, C.-H., Yarkoni, O., Ajioka, J., Wan, K.-L., Nathan, S. J. A. m., & biotechnology. (2019). Recent advancements in high-level synthesis of the promising clinical drug, prodigiosin. 103 (4), 1667-1680.

Young, K. D., & Young, R. (1982). Lytic action of cloned phi X174 gene E. *Journal of Virology*, 44 (3), 993-1002. doi:10.1128/JVI.44.3.993-1002.1982

Yu, D., Ellis, H. M., Lee, E. C., Jenkins, N. A., Copeland, N. G., & Court, D. L. (2000). An efficient recombination system for chromosome engineering in *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97 (11), 5978-5983. doi:10.1073/pnas.100127597

Zhang, Y., Buchholz, F., Muyrers, J. P., & Stewart, A. F. (1998). A new logic for DNA engineering using recombination in *Escherichia coli*. *Nature Genetics*, 20 (2), 123-128.

Zhang, Y., Buchholz, F., Muyrers, J. P., & Stewart, A. F. J. N. g. (1998). A new logic for DNA engineering using recombination in *Escherichia coli*. 20 (2), 123-128.

Tables

Table 1 Bacterial strains

Strain																	
MG-10	MG-3	MG-4A	MG-4B	MG-5	MG-6	MG-7	CWE-1	CWE-2	CWE-3	CWE-4	CW-1	GY1	GY4	GY4-1	GY5	GY5A	GY

a: 1,000bp DNA fragments of catenin gene from *Helicoverpa armigera* . b, 1,000bp DNA fragments of T7

RNA polymerase gene from *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3).

Table 2. Oligonucleotides

Name
Sph-E Nco-E Ack-Gen-F Ack-E-R Ack-F Gen-F Ack-catF Ack-catR TetA-F Ack-R EG-pkd-E EG-pkd-G Pkd-cx Gen-R Pig

^a Underlined sequences indicate the primers for PCR amplification. The bold sequences represent homologous regions to target locus. The “//” indicates the site for double selection cassette insertion.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Construction and identification of the *tet-pL-E* selection/counter-selection cassette. (A) Schematic of constructing plasmid pBBR1-E. (B) Schematic of constructing the *tet-pL-E* selection/counter-selection cassette. DNA fragment in the dotted box indicates the goal region of the constructed *tet-pL-E* cassette. (C) PCR identification of strain CWE-1. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel.

Lane 1 shows a 851-bp amplicon in CWE-1, while no band is observed in the control strain MG-10 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker. (D) PCR identification of strain CWE-2. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel. Lane 1 shows a 1,430-bp amplicon in CWE-2, while no band is observed in the control strain MG1655 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker.

Figure 2 Potential and functional testing of lysis gene *E* as a counter-selection marker gene. (A) Cells were grown overnight at 30 °C or 42 °C after streak cultivation. (B) Serial spot dilution of cells was grown in LB agar plates at 30 °C or 42 °C. (C) Colony formation of CWE-2 at 42 °C after Red recombination. No DNA (left) or 1,000 bp DNA fragment of *catenin* gene from *H. armigera* with 38-bp homologous to *ack* (right) was electroporated into competent CWE-2 cells. 100 μ L of recovered cells were then plated onto agar plates and cultivated at 42 °C. (D) PCR identification of the genomic substitution mentioned in (C). A total of 10 colonies (lane 1 to lane 10) were screened and a 1,116-bp product indicates successful substitution. Lane Con shows a 2,270-bp product in CWE-2. M represents the DNA marker.

Figure 3 Construction and functional testing of the P_{BAD} -*E-Gm^R* selection/counter-selection cassette. (A) Schematic of constructing plasmid pKD-EG. DNA fragment in the dotted box indicates the goal region of the constructed P_{BAD} -*E-Gm^R* cassette. (B) PCR identification of plasmid pKD-EG. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel. Lane 1 shows a 1,344-bp amplicon in pKD-EG, while no band is observed in the control plasmid pKD46 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker. (C) Induction of *E* in plasmid pKD-EG with arabinose is lethal. GY1 [pKD-EG] was cultured overnight in LB broth without (left) or with the addition of 0.4% arabinose (right). (D) PCR identification of strain GY4. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel. Lane 1 shows a 1,610-bp amplicon in GY4, while no band is observed in the control strain GY1 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker. (E) Induction of *E* in GY4 with arabinose is also lethal. GY14 was cultured overnight in LB broth without (left) or with the addition of 0.4% arabinose (right). (F) Serial spot dilution of GY4 and GY1 [pSim6] were grown in LB agar plates supplemented without (left) or with (right) the addition of 0.4% arabinose.

Figure 4 Genomic seamless modification in *S. marcescens* chromosome. (A) Colony formation of GY4 after ssDNA-mediated recombination. 5 μ L of recovered cells were cultivated on LB agar plate supplemented with 0.4% arabinose. ssDNA represents GY4 transformed with oligo sspigA-F. Con represents GY4 transformed without DNA. (B) PCR detection of the P_{BAD} -*E-Gm^R* deletion using ssDNA. Lane Con shows a 3,477-bp amplicon in GY4, and a 1,190-bp product indicates successful deletion in Lane 1 to 10. M represents the DNA marker. (C) PCR detection of the P_{BAD} -*E-Gm^R* substitution by partial fragment of T7 RNA polymerase gene. Lane Con shows a 1,929-bp amplicon indicates successful substitution in Lane to 10, while no band is observed in the control strain GY5 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker.

Figure 5 Improving selection stringency frequency through combining *E* and *kil*. Selection stringency

frequency of *E*, *kil* and *kil-sd-E* was analyzed at *ack* (A), *araB* (B) and *pigA* loci (D). Each point represents the mean \pm SD of three independent replicates. (C) MG-4A (left) and MG-4B (right) were cultured overnight in LB broth without or with the addition of 0.4% arabinose. (E) Colony formation of GY5 after ssDNA-mediated recombination. 5 μ L of recovered cells were cultivated on LB agar plate supplemented with 0.4% arabinose. ssDNA represents GY5 transformed with oligo sspigA-F. Con represents GY5 transformed without DNA. (F) PCR detection of the *P_{BAD}-kil-sd-E-Gm^R* deletion using ssDNA. Lane Con shows a 3,713-bp amplicon in GY5, and a 1,190-bp product indicates successful deletion in Lane 1 to 10. M represents the DNA marker. (G) PCR detection of the *P_{BAD}-kil-sd-E-Gm^R* substitution by partial fragment of T7 RNA polymerase gene. a 1,929-bp amplicon indicates successful substitution in Lane to 10, while no band is observed in the control strain GY5 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker.

Figure 6 Improving selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* by introducing plasmid pKDsg-ack. (A) Selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* at *marR-1*, *rcsA* and *hyp-1* loci was analyzed. Each point represents the mean \pm SD of three independent replicates. (B) Selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* at *araB* locus in MG1655 [pSim6] and MG1655 [pKDsg-ack] was analyzed. Each point represents the mean \pm SD of three independent replicates. (C) Selection stringency frequency of *kil-sd-E* at *marR-1*, *rcsA* and *hyp-1* loci was analyzed. Each point represents the mean \pm SD of three independent replicates. (D) Comparison of selection stringency in *S. marcescens* carrying plasmid pSim6 or pKDsg-ack. The ratio is determined by dividing the selection stringency of the former by that of the latter.

Figure S1 Schematic of seamless deleting of *P_{BAD}-E-Gm^R* cassette by sspigA-F. *P_{BAD}-E-Gm^R* was inserted into the CDS of *pigA* (between the purple and green boxes). The “//” in sspig-F indicates the junction between the two homologies.

Figure S2 Construction of CWE-3. Schematic of constructing strain CWE-3 is shown in the left. RBS sequence (GAAGGAGATATACC) from pET32a was linked between the CDS of *E* and *kil*. DNA fragment in the dotted box indicates the goal region of the constructed *kil-sd-E* counter-selection cassette. PCR identification of the strain CWE-3 is shown in the right. Lane 1 shows an 851-bp amplicon in CWE-1, while no band is observed in the control strain MG-10 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker.

Figure S3 PCR identification of the strain MG-4A and MG-4B. Lane 1 shows an 360-bp (left) or an 600-bp (right) amplicon in MG-4A and MG-4B respectively, while no band is observed in the control strain MG1655 (Lane Con). M represents the DNA marker.

Figure S4 Colony formation of MG655 [pKDsg-ack]. Cells equivalent in quantity to 10^{-4} μ L of Overnight-cultured MG655 [pKDsg-ack] were mixed with 100 μ L of fresh LB and then spread onto agar plate without or with the addition of 0.4% arabinose.

Hosted file

Figures.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/435479/articles/710936-development-and-optimization-of-lysis-gene-e-as-a-counter-selection-marker-with-high-selection-stringency>