

Are there detectable common aperiodic displacements at ITRF co-location sites ?

Maylis de La Serve^{1,2}, Paul Rebischung^{1,2}, Xavier Collilieux^{1,2}, Zuheir Altamimi^{1,2}, Laurent Métivier^{1,2} email : teyssendier@ipgp.fr

¹ Université de Paris, Institut de physique du globe de Paris, CNRS, IGN, F-75005 Paris, France ² ENSG-Géomatique, IGN, F-77455 Marne-la-Vallée, France




G55C-0267

A – Introduction

- Part of the Earth's surface deformation is not captured by the deterministic functions of the current ITRF model, such as inter-annual hydrological loading deformation, or high-frequency atmospheric loading deformation.
- To account for such aperiodic displacements, a reference frame in the form of a time series could be considered. This would require aperiodic motions of the different space geodetic stations to be tied in a common frame by means of co-motion constraints.
- However **common aperiodic movements, other than post-seismic deformations, between co-located space geodetic stations have thus far not been evidenced at a global scale.**
- This poster describes the comparison of station position time series from the different space geodetic techniques in order to highlight whether or not common aperiodic movements can be detected at co-location sites.

C – Spectral analysis




We analyze the spectral content of the position time series in order to clean them from seasonal signals and technique-specific periodic errors (e.g., GPS draconitics). We use the statistical method LSHE – Least Square Harmonic Estimation [2] - to test for the significance of potential periodic signals, and iteratively remove the most significant ones.

B – Data and processing


- The station position time series are extracted from the solutions provided by the technique services for the ITRF2014 [1] and sampled at a weekly basis.
- They are first carefully aligned to a common reference frame. In order to minimize technique related network effect, the solutions of the other techniques are aligned to the GNSS solution of the same week.
- Then, they are cleaned from linear, post-seismic and periodic signals, including seasonal deformation and technique systematic errors - see C.
- Residual position time series from a selection of co-located stations - see D - are finally confronted with each other - see E and F.

E – Residual position time series




The curves are Vondrak-filtered residuals with a 2 cpy cutoff frequency.

D – Selected colocation sites



F – Concordance correlation coefficient of the residuals

[3]



G – Conclusions and perspectives

- Modest correlations are observed between GNSS residual position time series and the other space geodetic techniques, mostly in the vertical component.
- Are these correlations broadband, or do they pertain to particular frequency bands? → Compute frequency-dependent inter-technique correlations.
- How much of these correlations is explained by loading deformation? → Repeat the study with loading-corrected time series.
- Unfortunately, this work is limited by the heterogeneity of the space geodetic techniques data : difference in precision, global coverage and amount of data.

H – References

- [1] Z. Altamimi, P. Rebischung, L. Métivier, et X. Collilieux, « ITRF2014: A new release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame modeling nonlinear station motions », Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, vol. 121, no 8, p. 6109-6131, 2016, doi: [10.1002/2016JB013098](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013098).
- [2] A. R. Amiri-Simkooei, C. C. J. M. Tiberius, et P. J. G. Teunissen, « Assessment of noise in GPS coordinate time series: Methodology and results », Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, vol. 112, no B7, 2007, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004913>.
- [3] L. I.-K. Lin, « A Concordance Correlation Coefficient to Evaluate Reproducibility », Biometrics, vol. 45, no 1, p. 255-268, 1989, doi: [10.2307/2532051](https://doi.org/10.2307/2532051).